

Detecting Colliding Parallel Universes

Jessie Desmond

June 2026

One big question with CPU Theory is: how do we detect universes that are currently in collision?

These are five possible ways.

1. A Pattern In The Cosmic Microwave Background

The strongest place to look is the cosmic microwave background: the afterglow of the early universe. A collision could leave a circular or disk-like temperature anomaly on the sky, possibly with one side slightly hotter or colder than expected. Researchers have searched CMB maps from WMAP and Planck for these kinds of “bubble collision” signatures. Early searches found possible candidates but no confirmed detection. (Feeney et al., 2011)

2. Polarization Scars In The CMB

Temperature alone is noisy because random CMB fluctuations can mimic strange spots. A stronger case would need matching polarization patterns: a specific orientation pattern in the ancient light. Forecast studies argue that CMB polarization helps distinguish a real collision from a statistical fluke, though even ideal future data may only modestly improve constraints beyond Planck-like data. (Feeney et al., 2015)

3. Large-Scale Asymmetry In The Universe

A collision might create a preferred direction: one side of the visible universe could have slightly different density, temperature, or curvature than the other. Astronomers would look for unusual large-scale patterns in galaxy surveys, gravitational lensing maps, or matter distribution that line up with a CMB anomaly. (Fujita & Kamada, 2016) (Shamir, 2022) (Hansen et al., 2009) (Davies, 1983)

4. Unusual Gravitational-Wave Background

A violent early-universe interaction could, in some models, create a background of gravitational waves. This would not look like a black-hole merger signal. It would be more like a faint, all-sky hum from the early universe. At present, this is much more speculative than the CMB route. (Christensen, 2019) (Allen, 1997) (Schultz, 1989) (Ye & Silvestri, 2024)

5. Differences in Time

Measurable differences in location pockets of differences of (atomic) time, a differing of two “arrows of time”. If two universes had different vacuum states or different thermodynamic histories, a boundary region could have strange causality effects: distorted aging, memory glitches, reversed entropy pockets, or time-ordering problems. A detectable “change in time” would probably be measured as clock desynchronization. Scientists would compare atomic clocks, pulsar timing, GPS satellites, radioactive decay, and astronomical redshifts. If all timekeeping systems shift together, that suggests real spacetime distortion. If only

atomic/chemical clocks shift, that suggests changed constants or changed particle behavior. (Hartford & Rettberg, 2019) (Gal-Or, 1987) (Strick, 1971) (Gretener, 1961) (Derevianko & Pospelov, 2014)

CITATIONS

- Allen, B. (1997). The stochastic gravity-wave background: Sources and detection. In J.-A. Marck & J.-P. Lasota (Eds.), *Relativistic gravitation and gravitational radiation: Proceedings of the Les Houches School of Physics: Astrophysical sources of gravitational radiation* (pp. 373–417). Cambridge University Press.
<https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9604033>
- Christensen, N. (2019). Stochastic gravitational wave backgrounds. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 82(1), Article 016903. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aae6b5>
- Davies, P. Inflation and time asymmetry in the Universe. *Nature* 301, 398–400 (1983).
<https://doi.org/10.1038/301398a0>
- Derevianko, A., Pospelov, M. Hunting for topological dark matter with atomic clocks. *Nature Phys* 10, 933–936 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3137>
- Feeney, S. M., Johnson, M. C., Mortlock, D. J., & Peiris, H. V. (2011). First observational tests of eternal inflation. *Physical Review Letters*, 107(7), 071301.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.071301>
- Feeney, S. M., Elsner, F., Johnson, M. C., & Peiris, H. V. (2015). Forecasting constraints from the cosmic microwave background on eternal inflation. *Physical Review D*, 92(8), 083515. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.083515>
- Fujita, T., & Kamada, K. (2016). Large-scale magnetic fields can explain the baryon asymmetry of the Universe. *Physical Review D*, 93(8), 083520.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.083520>
- Gal-Or, B. (1987). The Arrows of Time. In: *Cosmology, Physics, and Philosophy*. Springer, New York, NY. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-9661-5_5
- Gretener, P. E. F. (1961). An analysis of the observed time discrepancies between continuous and conventional well velocity surveys. *Geophysics*, 26(1), 1-11.
<https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1438837>
- Hansen, F. K., Banday, A. J., Gorski, K. M., Eriksen, H. K., & Lilje, P. B. (2009). Power asymmetry in cosmic microwave background fluctuations from full sky to sub-degree scales: is the universe isotropic?. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 704(2), 1448-1458.
<https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/704/2/1448>
- Hartford, S., & Rettberg, S. (2019). *The Vanished*. Prometheus Studios Inc. History Channel. Retrieved May 31, 2026, from <https://www.imdb.com/title/tt9515146/>.
- Schutz, B. F. (1989). Gravitational wave sources and their detectability. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 6(12), 1761–1780. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/6/12/006>
- Shamir, L. (2022). New evidence and analysis of cosmological-scale asymmetry in galaxy spin directions. *Journal of Astrophysics and Astronomy*, 43(1), 24.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12036-022-09809-8>

- Strick, E. (1971). An explanation of observed time discrepancies between continuous and conventional well velocity surveys. *Geophysics*, 36(2), 285-295.
<https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1440169>
- Ye, G., & Silvestri, A. (2024). Can the gravitational wave background feel wiggles in spacetime? *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 963(1), Article L15.
<https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ad2851>